

# Antiviral defense mechanisms in honey bees

Laura M Brutscher<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Katie F Daughenbaugh<sup>1</sup> and Michelle L Flenniken<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Honey bees are significant pollinators of agricultural crops and other important plant species. High annual losses of honey bee colonies in North America and in some parts of Europe have profound ecological and economic implications. Colony losses have been attributed to multiple factors including RNA viruses, thus understanding bee antiviral defense mechanisms may result in the development of strategies that mitigate colony losses. Honey bee antiviral defense mechanisms include RNA-interference, pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) triggered signal transduction cascades, and reactive oxygen species generation. However, the relative importance of these and other pathways is largely uncharacterized. Herein we review the current understanding of honey bee antiviral defense mechanisms and suggest important avenues for future investigation.

## Addresses

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Sciences and Plant Pathology, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

<sup>2</sup> Institute on Ecosystems, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

Corresponding author: Flenniken, Michelle L  
 ([michelle.flenniken@montana.edu](mailto:michelle.flenniken@montana.edu))

Current Opinion in Insect Science 2015, 10:71–82

This review comes from a themed issue on **Social insects**

Edited by **Christina M Grozinger** and **Jay D Evans**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

Available online 8th May 2015

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.04.016>

2214-5745/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) are fascinating insects that play a critical role in agriculture as pollinators of crops (U.S. value over \$15 billion/year) and plant species that enhance the biodiversity of both agricultural and non-agricultural landscapes [1]. Since 2006, honey bee populations in the U.S., Canada, and in some parts of Europe have experienced high annual losses [2,3,4<sup>••</sup>]. An average of 33% of U.S. honey bee colonies die each year, and a fraction of these losses are attributed to Colony Collapse Disorder (CCD) [5,6<sup>•</sup>,7,8,9<sup>••</sup>]. Multiple biotic and abiotic factors contribute to colony health and survival (i.e., viruses, mites, microbes, bee genetics, weather, forage quality and availability, management practices, and agro-

chemical exposure) [9<sup>••</sup>,10,11<sup>••</sup>,12<sup>••</sup>]. Understanding the most influential factors and potential synergistic effects on honey bee health is critical to developing pollinator management and conservation strategies that limit bee colony losses [13].

Several epidemiologic and temporal monitoring studies indicate the important role of pathogens in colony loss including viruses, bacteria, fungi, trypanosomatids, and mites [4<sup>••</sup>,9<sup>••</sup>,12<sup>••</sup>,14<sup>•</sup>,15,16<sup>•</sup>,17,18<sup>••</sup>,19<sup>••</sup>,20,21]. The majority of honey bee infecting pathogens are RNA viruses, including Acute bee paralysis virus [22], Black queen cell virus [23], Israeli acute bee paralysis virus [24], Kashmir bee virus [25], Deformed wing virus [26], Kakugo virus [27], Varroa destructor virus-1 [28], Sacbrood virus [29], Slow bee paralysis virus [30], Cloudy wing virus [31], Big Sioux River virus [17,20], Aphid lethal virus (strain Brookings) [17,20], Chronic bee paralysis virus [32] (reviewed in [33,34]) and the Lake Sinai viruses (LSV1 and LSV2 [20], LSV3 [12<sup>••</sup>], LSV4 [17], and LSV5 [35]). Honey bee virus infections may cause deformities, paralysis, death, or remain asymptomatic [33]. Bee viruses are transmitted via vertical and horizontal routes [36], including co-foraging with wild and managed bee populations [37–39]. The ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor* serves as a vector for several honey bee viruses [40–42] and causes colony loss by feeding on bee hemolymph and killing bee brood [43]. Several studies indicate that combinatorial effects of mites and viruses result in colony loss (reviewed in [34,44<sup>••</sup>,45<sup>••</sup>,46]). The relationship between colony health and pathogen prevalence and abundance is complex and dependent upon season, geographic location, pathogen strain, and both individual and colony level bee immune responses. Thus, temporal monitoring studies are key to understanding the relative impact of these variables on honey bee colony health.

The focus of this review is to summarize our current understanding of honey bee antiviral responses. Honey bees, like all other organisms, have evolved mechanisms to detect and limit virus infection. Knowledge of honey bee immune mechanisms is largely derived via comparison to the better-characterized immune responses in fruit-flies and mosquitoes. While comparative genomics is a useful approach for evaluating honey bee immune gene function, it is important to note that Western honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) are eusocial Hymenopteran insects, an order that diverged from the solitary Dipteran insects including fruit-flies and mosquitoes approximately 300 million years ago [47,48<sup>••</sup>,49,50]. General aspects of



immunity, including detection of pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and production of effector molecules are conserved in mammals, plants, and insects, and both plants and insects employ RNA interference (RNAi) as a major mechanism of antiviral defense [51,52<sup>••</sup>,53<sup>•</sup>]. These immune pathways provide a framework for understanding honey bee host–virus interactions.

### Insect immune pathways

RNA interference (RNAi) is the major mechanism of antiviral defense in fruit-flies and mosquitos (reviewed in [53<sup>•</sup>,54,55,56,57<sup>•</sup>,58]). RNAi is a sequence specific, post-transcriptional gene and virus silencing mechanism that is triggered by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). Direct evidence of the antiviral role of RNAi in insects has predominantly come from studies in *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Aedes aegypti*, and *Anopheles gambiae*, which involved experimental infections via injections with pure virus inocula, mutant-flies, or gene knock-down in mosquitos [59–63]. Likewise, field and laboratory based studies in *Apis mellifera* (Western honey bee) [64<sup>•</sup>,65<sup>••</sup>,66<sup>••</sup>,67,68,69<sup>••</sup>] and *Apis cerana* (Eastern honey bee) [70] indicate that RNAi-mediated antiviral immunity is important in honey bees (reviewed in [71<sup>••</sup>]). In addition, dsRNA may serve as a non-sequence-specific virus associated molecular pattern (VAMP) that triggers innate antiviral immune pathways in fruit-flies [72] and honey bees [73<sup>•</sup>,74], similar to the mammalian interferon response [75] (Figure 1, Tables 1 and S1).

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.cois.2015.04.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.04.016).

Other insect immune responses include melanization, encapsulation, reactive oxygen species production, and activation of signal transduction cascades that result in the production of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and other effector proteins (Figure 1, Tables 1 and S1). These pathways include the Toll, Imd (Immune Deficiency) and Jak/STAT (Janus kinase and Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription) innate immune response pathways (Figure 1) (reviewed in [52<sup>••</sup>,56,76<sup>••</sup>,77,78,79<sup>••</sup>,80]). There are numerous orthologous proteins utilized in plant, insect, and mammalian immune defense mechanisms (reviewed in [51,81]), and discovery of the *Drosophila* Toll pathway led to the identification of a repertoire of mammalian Toll-like receptors (TLRs)

(reviewed in [81,82]). The importance of the Toll, Imd, Jak/STAT, and other pathways in antiviral defense is variable and specific to individual virus–host interactions [76<sup>••</sup>,80,83]. For example, the Toll pathway is involved in *D. melanogaster* and *Aedes aegypti* defense against Drosophila X virus [84] and Dengue [85], respectively, as *diff* loss of function mutants were more susceptible to virus infection. The *Drosophila* Imd pathway plays a larger role than the Toll pathway in limiting Sindbis virus [86] and Cricket paralysis virus (CrPV) [87], and the Jak-Stat pathway is critical to combating Drosophila C virus infection [88]. AMPs are small cationic peptides that penetrate microbial membranes, serve in innate immune signaling, and play additional uncharacterized functions (reviewed in [77,89]). While the role of AMPs in virus infection is not known, changes in AMP expression are used as indicators of immune pathway regulation. AMP induction in *D. melanogaster* varies, as some viruses induce expression (i.e., DXV and SINV) and others do not (i.e., CrPV and Rhabdovirus [90]). Numerous studies suggest the role of additional pathways in insect antiviral defense [72,80,88,90–92].

### Honey bee antiviral immune responses

Bioinformatic analysis of the honey bee genome identified *A. mellifera* orthologs of insect immune genes and suggests that bees have fewer immune genes than *D. melanogaster*, *Ae. aegypti*, or *An. gambiae* [47,48<sup>••</sup>,93]. The honey bee genome encodes the suite of genes required for RNAi including *dicer-1*, *ago-2*, *r2d2*, and *dicer-like*, which shares 30% nucleotide identity with *Dm dicer-2* [47,94]. All the main components of the Toll, Imd, JNK, Tor, and Jak-STAT pathways have been identified (except *upd*), as well as immune effector proteins including AMPs (i.e., *abaecin*, *hymenoptaecin*, *apidaecin*, and *defensin*) and prophenoloxidasases [48<sup>••</sup>]. RNAi, Toll, Imd, endocytosis, MAPK, and non-specific dsRNA-mediated immune pathways have been implicated in honey bee antiviral defense (Figure 1, Tables 1 and S1).

A distinguishing feature of virus infection is the presence of long, double-stranded RNA molecules in the cytosol of the infected cell. Since long dsRNAs are not typical products of eukaryotic gene expression, these molecules are recognized as PAMPs in hosts including plants, arthropods, insects, and mammals [95]. Mammals have several receptors (e.g., TLR3, PKR, RIG-I, and MDA-5) that upon binding dsRNA, activate signal transduction

(Figure 1 legend continued) expression and/or apoptosis. In *Drosophila*, binding of vesicular stomatitis virus to the Toll-7 receptor promotes autophagy, likely by inhibiting the PI3/Akt/Tor (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Protein kinase B/Target of rapamycin) pathway which suppresses autophagy. The honey bee genome encodes for one gene of the Toll-7/2 clade, *18-wheeler* (*am18w*), which shares ~49% aa identity with *Dm* Toll-7 and ~45% aa identity with *Dm* Toll-2. The role of Am18w protein in antiviral defense and autophagy in honey bees is unknown. In insects, **Eicosanoid biosynthesis** begins with the induction of PLA2 (Phospholipase 2) from signal cascades downstream of viral, fungal, or bacterial PAMP recognition. Activated PLA2 hydrolyzes arachidonic acid (AA) from cellular phospholipids. Eicosanoid production likely occurs via oxidation of AA by an unidentified enzyme. Eicosanoids are critical for nodulation and aid in phagocytosis, micro-aggregation, adhesion, and release of prophenoloxidase (PPO) from hemocytes. Experimental evidence also suggests **endocytosis, melanization, and MAPK pathways** are involved in honey bee antiviral defense.

Table 1

Honey bee immune genes. The *Apis mellifera* genome encodes major members of insect immune pathways including those depicted in Figure 1 and listed by gene name, pathway, and accession number in this table. Bold text indicates genes differentially expressed in virus-infected honey bees, and the specific virus and citation are provided for each. Transcript variants, the majority of which were predicted using Gnomon and the NCBI RefSeq Database, are listed although many have not been experimentally verified as expressed transcripts, nor been specifically implicated in antiviral defense. A list of additional honey bee immune related genes is provided in Supporting Table S1.

Gene name	Pathway	Accession number	Virus	Reference
<b>abaecin</b>	AMP	NM_001011617.1	SINV	Flenniken and Andino [73*]
<b>apidaecin 1 (apid 1)</b>	AMP	NM_001011613.1	SINV, DWV	Flenniken and Andino [73*], Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>apidaecin 1 (apid73)</b>	AMP	XM_006572699.1	SINV, DWV	Flenniken and Andino [73*], Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>apidaecin type 22 (apid22)</b>	AMP	NM_001011642.1	SINV, DWV	Flenniken and Andino [73*], Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>hymenoptaecin</b>	AMP	NM_001011615.1	SINV, DWV	Flenniken and Andino [73*], Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>defensin-2</b>	AMP	NM_001011638.1	DWV	Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>apisimin</b>	AMP	NM_001011582.1		
<b>defensin-1</b>	AMP	NM_001011616.2		
<b>vago</b>	antivir	XM_395092.4	DWV	Ryabov et al. [69**]
<b>nimrod c1 (nimc1)</b>	EGF Family	XM_006561053.1	SINV	Flenniken and Andino [73*]
<b>phospholipase a2 (pla2)</b>	Eicosanoid	NM_001011614.1		
<b>unc-80/endocytosis</b>	Endocytosis	XM_006558847.1	SINV	Flenniken and Andino [73*]
<b>dscam</b>	IG superfamily		SINV	Flenniken and Andino [73*]
<b>relish (rel), var x1</b>	IMD	XM_006562219.1	DWV	Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>relish (rel), var x2</b>	IMD	XM_006562220.1	DWV	Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>relish (rel), var x3</b>	IMD	XM_006562221.1	DWV	Kuster et al. [44**]
<b>fadd</b>	IMD	GB30399		
<b>imd</b>	IMD	NM_001163717.1		
<b>ikky-kenny</b>	IMD	XM_001120619.3		
<b>ird5</b>	IMD	XM_623132.3		
<b>pgrp-lc</b>	IMD	XM_392452.5		
<b>dredd</b>	IMD	XM_001120830.1		
<b>tab, var x1</b>	IMD	XM_001122664.3		
<b>tab, var x2</b>	IMD	XM_006565777.1		
<b>tak1, var x1</b>	IMD	XM_006572294.1		
<b>tak1, var x2</b>	IMD	XM_397248.5		
<b>d-pias, var x1</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006561055.1	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>d-pias, var x2</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006561056.1	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>d-pias, var x3</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_623568.4	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>hopscotch (hop), var x1</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_001121783.3	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>hopscotch (hop), var x2</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006567688.1	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>hopscotch (hop), var x3</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006567689.1	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>hopscotch (hop), var x4</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006567690.1	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>stat92e-like</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_397181.5	IAPV	Chen et al. [18**]
<b>domeless</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_003251652.2		
<b>socs-5, var x1</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006570603.1		
<b>socs-5, var x2</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_624416.4		
<b>tepb</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006570965.1		
<b>tep7, var x1</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006565440.1		
<b>tep7, var x2</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006565441.1		
<b>tepa, var x1</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_006571765.1		
<b>tepa, var x2</b>	Jak/STAT	XM_397416.4		
<b>lysozyme 1 (lys)</b>	Lysozyme	NC_007082.3		
<b>lysozyme 2 (lys-2)</b>	Lysozyme	NM_001120136.3		
<b>lysozyme 3 (lys-3), var x1</b>	Lysozyme	XM_393161.5		
<b>lysozyme 3 (lys-3), var x2</b>	Lysozyme	XM_006571783.1		
<b>nimrod b (nimb)</b>	Phagocytosis	GB12454		
<b>nimrod a (nima)</b>	Phagocytosis	XM_001120328.3		
<b>nimrod c2 (nimc2), var x1</b>	Phagocytosis	XM_006561040.1		
<b>nimrod c2 (nimc2), var x2</b>	Phagocytosis	XM_006561041.1		
<b>nimrod c2 (nimc2), var x3</b>	Phagocytosis	XM_006561042.1		
<b>nimrod c2 (nimc2), var x4</b>	Phagocytosis	XM_006561043.1		
<b>pi3k, var x1</b>	PI3K-Akt-Tor	XM_006570469.1		
<b>pi3k, var x2</b>	PI3K-Akt-Tor	XM_623894.3		
<b>target of rapamycin (tor)</b>	PI3K-Akt-Tor	XM_006566642.1		



Table 1 (Continued)

Gene name	Pathway	Accession number	Virus	Reference
<i>akt-interacting protein-like</i>	PI3K-Akt-Tor	XM_625206.4		
<b>raptor</b>	PI3K-Akt-Tor	XM_624057.4	IAPV	Chen <i>et al.</i> [18**]
<i>phenoloxidase subunit a3 (ppo)</i>	PPO	NM_001011627.1		
<b>argonaute 2 (ago2)</b>	RNAi	XM_395048.5	DWV	Galbraith <i>et al.</i> [101**]
<b>dicer-like</b>	RNAi	XM_006571316.1	DWV	Galbraith <i>et al.</i> [101**]
<i>lysyl oxidase-like 2 (lox2), var x1</i>	Scav. Receptor A	XM_006560641.1		
<i>lysyl oxidase-like 2 (lox2), var x2</i>	Scav. Receptor A	XM_392090.4		
<b>nf-<math>\kappa</math>-<math>\beta</math> inhibitor cactus 1</b>	Toll/TLR	NM_001163712.1	DWV	Galbraith <i>et al.</i> [101**]
<b>toll-6</b>	Toll/TLR	XM_393712.4	DWV	Galbraith <i>et al.</i> [101**]
<b>dorsal, var a</b>	Toll/TLR	NM_001011577.1	DWV	Nazzi <i>et al.</i> [102**]
<b>dorsal, var b</b>	Toll/TLR	NM_001171006.1		
<i>dorsal-2 (dl-2), var x1</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006565455.1		
<i>dorsal-2 (dl-2), var x2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_395180.5		
<i>ikappab kinase-like 2 (ik2)</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_396937.5		
<i>myd88, var x1</i>	Toll/TLR	NM_006560439.1		
<i>myd88, var x2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006560440.1		
<i>nf-kappa-<math>\beta</math> inhibitor cact1, var x1</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006567107.1		
<i>nf-kappa-<math>\beta</math> inhibitor cact1, var x2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006567108.1		
<i>nf-kappa-<math>\beta</math> inhibitor cact2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_394485.5		
<i>nf-kappa-<math>\beta</math> inhibitor cact3, var 2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_625153.4		
<i>spaetzle-like, var x1</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_003250921.2		
<i>spaetzle-like, var x2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006566961.1		
<i>pelle, var x1</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006565164.1		
<i>pelle, var x2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_623999.4		
<i>traf6, var x1</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006562507.1		
<i>traf6, var x2</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_624204.4		
<i>toll interacting protein (tollip)</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_624414.4		
<i>toll-1</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006562720.1		
<i>toll-10</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_006562853.1		
<i>toll-8</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_393713.3		
<i>tube protein (tub)</i>	Toll/TLR	XM_001121229.3		
<i>18-wheeler (18-w)/toll like receptor</i>	Toll/TLR	NM_001013361.1		

\* Note *dscam* has 104 transcript variants: NM\_001014991.1; XM\_006567003.1–XM\_006567105.1.

cascades, resulting in the transcriptional activation of genes involved in generating an 'antiviral state' including thousands of interferon stimulated genes (reviewed in [96,97]). Importantly, long dsRNAs also serve as the substrate for RNAi-mediated antiviral responses. The first step of the antiviral small interfering RNA (siRNA) pathway is cleavage of cytosolic dsRNA by the Dicer enzyme (Figure 1). Initial studies implicating the role of RNAi in honey bee antiviral defense demonstrated that feeding sucrose solutions containing IAPV-specific dsRNA resulted in increased bee survival, lower levels of IAPV [64\*], larger colony size, and increased honey yields [67]. This also sparked commercial interest in dsRNA/RNAi-mediated antiviral treatments [67], and raised concerns regarding potential off-target effects and the use of RNAi-based insecticidal crops [98]. A subsequent laboratory-based study demonstrated that pre-treatment of larvae and adults with DWV-specific dsRNA prior to DWV-infection via feeding resulted in increased survival and decreased virus titers [65\*\*]. Likewise *Apis cerana* larvae pre-treated with virus-specific dsRNA had reduced levels of Chinese Sacbrood virus following infection via feeding [70].

One of the hallmarks of RNAi-mediated antiviral responses in insects is siRNA production. Small interfering

RNAs produced by Dicer-2 cleavage are 21–22 bp in length, with an approximately 19 bp double-stranded RNA core, 5'-monophosphate ends, and two-nucleotide single-stranded overhangs at the 3'-hydroxyl ends; the single-strand siRNA retained in the holo-RNA Induced Silencing Complex (RISC) is modified (2'-O-methylated) at the 3'-end (reviewed in [99]). The first molecular evidence of virus-specific siRNAs in honey bee samples was obtained by Northern blot analysis [64\*,70]. Recently, Chejanovsky *et al.* evaluated siRNA populations isolated from bees obtained from either CCD-affected or unaffected colonies using high throughput sequencing and determined that there were more virus-specific (i.e., IAPV, KBV, and DWV) siRNA reads in CCD-affected samples [66\*\*,69\*\*]. These siRNAs were predominantly 22-nt long and distributed throughout the virus genome [66\*\*], indicating that the dsRNA replicative intermediate form of the IAPV genome was the Dicer substrate (reviewed in [100]). Further analysis of the IAPV-siRNAs from CCD-affected samples determined that most were negative-sense, and may thus serve as guide sequences that target the (+)ssRNA IAPV genome [66\*\*]. High throughput sequencing of small RNAs obtained from *Varroa*-infested, DWV-like, and VDV-1-infected bees identified a greater number of positive sense virus-specific siRNAs than negative sense siRNAs, and showed that DWV-like virus and siRNA

abundance were proportional [69<sup>••</sup>]. Interestingly, pupae with low virus levels that were exposed to few *Varroa* mites had 5-times more siRNAs than viral genomes, suggesting that when mite-pressure was low, the honey bee RNAi-mediated defense system was able to overcome virus replication [69<sup>••</sup>].

Results to date indicate that honey bees utilize RNAi as an antiviral defense mechanism. Future studies that show increased virus copy number in response to experimental knock-down of *dicer-like* and/or *argonaute-2* would provide additional evidence of an RNAi-mediated defense strategy in honey bees. Likewise, demonstrating siRNA incorporation into the RISC by sequencing only 2'-O-methylated siRNAs would provide additional experimental support for honey bee antiviral RNAi. The relative contribution of RNAi and other immune mechanisms requires further examination in the context of specific viruses, in different developmental stages and castes, and in a range of colony health (i.e., weak, healthy, CCD-affected). Genome integration of IAPV also requires further examination [64<sup>•</sup>], since in *D. melanogaster*, both genome-integrated RNA viral sequences and RNAi are involved in limiting and maintaining persistent virus infections [63]. Together, these and other studies will reveal the relative role of RNAi in reducing or eliminating viruses in individual bees and colonies.

In *D. melanogaster*, Dicer-2 not only participates in RNAi, it also serves as a dsRNA sensor that upon binding results in the transcriptional activation of genes with antiviral function including *vago* [72] (Figure 1). Interestingly, Dicer-2 is a DEAD-box helicase motif containing protein, similar to the RIG-I-like family of mammalian cytosolic dsRNA sensors [72]. Recent evidence in honey bees suggests that dsRNA, regardless of its sequence-specificity, triggers an antiviral response that decreases viral burden [73<sup>•</sup>]. Also, *vago* expression was increased in pupae that were orally infected with DWV [69<sup>••</sup>]. Transcriptional profiling of Sindbis virus-infected and dsRNA-treated bees three days post-infection indicated that metabolic pathways were perturbed in both treatment groups. In addition, endocytosis and eicosanoid signaling pathways were differentially regulated in virus-infected bees, and dsRNA-treated bees differentially regulated genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation. The majority of differentially expressed genes were not involved in characterized innate immune pathways, albeit AMP expression was reduced (i.e., *apidaecin* and *hymenoptaecin*). Transcriptional changes in response to non-virus specific dsRNA (i.e., dsRNA-GFP) in developing honey bee workers were evaluated in a study aimed at investigating the off-target effects in RNAi-mediated gene knock-down experiments [74]. This study identified 1400 differentially expressed genes, and gene ontology analyses determined that the affected genes included those

involved in development, metabolism, immunity, stress response, and RNA processing and transport [74].

Several transcriptional level studies in honey bees implicate the involvement of uncharacterized genes/pathways in antiviral responses [18<sup>••</sup>,69<sup>••</sup>,73<sup>•</sup>,101<sup>••</sup>,102<sup>••</sup>]. However, the roles of genes in the Toll, Imd, Jak-STAT, JNK, and RNAi pathways are the best characterized. Central players in honey bee immune signal transduction cascades include insect orthologs of a well-characterized mammalian transcription factor NF- $\kappa$ B, including Dorsal-1A, Dorsal-1B, and Relish (Figure 1, Tables 1 and S1). Nazzi *et al.* determined that *dorsal-1A* expression is key in limiting DWV infection [102<sup>••</sup>]. Activation of NF- $\kappa$ B-family transcription factors results in the production of AMPs, which have unknown roles in antiviral immunity, and numerous other less well-characterized genes [19<sup>••</sup>,48<sup>••</sup>,103–105]. Symptomatic young bees experimentally infected with IAPV via feeding exhibited increased expression of Toll pathway members (i.e., *toll-6*, *cactus*, and *hymenoptaecin*) [101<sup>••</sup>], whereas transcriptional profiling of IAPV positive bees from naturally infected colonies did not implicate either the Toll or Imd pathways in antiviral defense [18<sup>••</sup>]. Young bees experimentally infected with Sindbis virus via injection and harboring very low levels of other bee pathogens expressed less *apidaecin* and *hymenoptaecin* than mock-infected controls [73<sup>•</sup>]. Similarly, neither ABPV-challenge nor ABPV and *E.coli* co-challenge via injection resulted in AMP production (i.e., Defensin-1, Abaecin, and Hymenoptaecin) in adults or larvae, indicating that ABPV may suppress bee immune responses [106<sup>•</sup>].

There are few general trends in the transcriptional response of honey bees to viruses due in large part to the relatively small number of studies performed to date and due to differences in virus-challenge methodologies (e.g., infection via injection, oral infection), experimental vs. natural infections, tissues examined, post-infection assay time, and developmental stage of the bee [107<sup>•</sup>] (i.e., IAPV [18<sup>••</sup>,101<sup>••</sup>,108], DWV [44<sup>••</sup>,69<sup>••</sup>], SBV [70], CCD-affected [109], and Sindbis virus [73<sup>•</sup>]). Furthermore, variability between experimentally infected-bees may be attributed to differences in immune gene regulation between individuals within and between colonies, purity and strain of virus inoculum, varied microbiomes, and prevalence of pre-existing pathogens. In addition, there are relatively few predicted genes (~25%) that are involved in well-annotated pathways; 33% of the DEGs in naturally IAPV-infected adults had *Drosophila* orthologs and could be assigned putative function [18<sup>••</sup>]. That said, differential expression of genes in immune, endocytic, and metabolic pathways are common to several data sets, but the directionality of regulation varies between studies and bee developmental stage [18<sup>••</sup>,73<sup>•</sup>,101<sup>••</sup>]. Several investigations have focused on IAPV due to its association with colony health and the development of methods to

produce IAPV-augmented infectious stocks via passaging bee viruses in pupae [108]. In adult bees, IAPV abundance is highest in the gut and hypopharyngeal gland and low in hemocytes (insect blood/immune cells) and the fat body, a tissue involved in metabolic activities (insect liver) [18<sup>••</sup>,77,110,111]. Transcriptional profiling of IAPV-infected adults revealed differential expression of over 3000 genes [18<sup>••</sup>]. Functional analysis determined that genes involved in signal transduction and immune responses exhibited increased expression and that genes involved in metabolism and mitochondrial dysfunction had reduced expression [18<sup>••</sup>]. In addition, IAPV-infection resulted in increased expression of genes involved in the TCA cycle II, protein ubiquitination, and eIF2 signaling, and that IAPV-infection reduced expression of genes in the  $\gamma$ -glutamyl cycle [18<sup>••</sup>]. Chen *et al.* determined that IAPV-infection also perturbed expression of genes involved in insect immune pathways (i.e., oxidative phosphorylation, ABC transporter function, endocytosis, phagocytosis, TGF-beta signaling, Tor signaling, MAPK signaling, Jak-STAT signaling, and lysosomal degradation) [18<sup>••</sup>]. Specific immune genes with increased expression in IAPV-infected adult honey bees include Jak/STAT pathway members (i.e., *cb1*, *stat*, *pias*, and *hopscotch*), Tor pathway members (i.e., *gbl*, *mo25*, *dmel*, and *eIF4B*), MAPK members (i.e., *pointed*, *phi*, and *corkscrew*), and genes involved in endocytosis (i.e., *egfr*, *past1*, *rabenosyn*, and *vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 37B-like*) [18<sup>••</sup>] (Figure 1, Tables 1 and S1). It is noteworthy that IAPV-infected larvae had a different suite of DEGs with little overlap in the adult dataset [18<sup>••</sup>]. Pupae infected with IAPV exhibited variable expression of ribosomal RNAs and increased expression of ribosomal protein S5a (RPS5), and glutathione S-transferase 1 [108]; bees from CCD-affected colonies also had increased rRNA expression [109]. The transcriptional profiles of the fat bodies from young, IAPV-infected worker bees [101<sup>••</sup>] shared the most genes with IAPV-infected adult bees [18<sup>••</sup>], and had little overlap with DEGs in bees infected with either *E. coli* bacteria [112] or microsporidia (*Nosema* spp.) [113], indicating that honey bee antiviral responses are distinct from immune responses mounted against other parasites. Increased expression of *argonaute-2* and *dicer-like* in response to IAPV-infection also supports the role of a distinct antiviral response involving RNAi, Toll, and Jak-STAT pathways [101<sup>••</sup>]. The research performed to date is informative, but additional studies are needed to better understand honey bee antiviral immune mechanisms at the transcriptional level (e.g., mechanisms of regulation of gene expression and the role of splice variants) and beyond.

### Viruses and other stressors

The focus of this review is honey bee host-virus interactions, and honey bee antiviral responses, but honey bees live in a complex environment. The effects of

viruses on bees, and the functionality of the bee immune responses, may be affected by the presence of other pathogens [12<sup>••</sup>,19<sup>••</sup>,20], the microbial context of infection (microbiome [114<sup>•</sup>,115<sup>•</sup>,116–117]), environmental factors including agrochemical exposure [104,118,119<sup>•</sup>,120,121<sup>•</sup>], and adequate nutrition [122<sup>•</sup>,123<sup>•</sup>,124]. Several studies indicate that bees infected with multiple pathogens have increased mortality and CCD-affected samples have a greater number of pathogens than control colonies [9<sup>••</sup>,12<sup>••</sup>,14<sup>•</sup>]. While it is widely accepted that mite infestation is detrimental to honey bee colonies and that mites also serve as virus vectors [40–42], the mechanism(s) of synergistic detrimental interactions have not been fully elucidated [34,44<sup>••</sup>,45<sup>••</sup>,102<sup>••</sup>,105].

Nazzi *et al.* investigated the combinatorial effects of mites and virus in both field and laboratory settings from the colony to the molecular level [102<sup>••</sup>]. They determined that high mite infestation coupled with increasing levels of DWV from June to October resulted in increased colony mortality [102<sup>••</sup>]. Transcriptome (RNASeq) analysis of adult bees in these colonies revealed lower expression of 19 immune genes including *dorsal-1A*, pathogen recognition receptors (*AmSCR*, *B5* and *B7 scavenger receptors*, and *C-type lectin 8*), and immune signaling pathway members including *hem*, *tak1*, and *socs* [102<sup>••</sup>] (Figure 1). Bees from colonies with both high mite and DWV levels exhibited increased expression of other immune genes including genes involved in pathogen recognition (*PGRP-S2*, *nimC2*, *eater-like*) and serine proteases [102<sup>••</sup>]. Laboratory experiments confirmed that a combination of mites and DWV, but not mites alone, reduced *dorsal-1A* expression in adult bees [102<sup>••</sup>]. Also, larvae in which *dorsal-1A* expression was reduced by RNAi-mediated knock-down harbored a greater number of DWV genome copies [102<sup>••</sup>]. Recent studies by Kuster *et al.* demonstrated that DWV virus abundance increased up to 72 hours post experimental wounding or *Varroa* mite exposure [44<sup>••</sup>]. Assessment of the transcriptional responses to wounding and mite exposure at times ranging from 24 to 240 hours post-capping demonstrated increased expression of immune genes (i.e., *abaecin*, *apidaecin*, *defensin*, *hymenoptaecin*, *PGRPs*, *PPOact*, and *relish*) and DWV infection (up to 72 hours) and reduction of mite numbers in conjunction with immune activation [44<sup>••</sup>]. Cluster analysis suggested co-regulation of *defensin* and *relish*, and *apidaecin* and *hymenoptaecin*, whereas *abaecin* and *PPOact* were not associated with other immune gene regulation [44<sup>••</sup>]. Interestingly, results to date indicate that mite pressure, independent of transmission, results in increased levels of DWV-like viruses with a VDV-1 CP coding region [69<sup>••</sup>]. The interactions between the honey bee host, *Varroa destructor*, and viruses are not fully understood and require further investigation. Since honey bee colonies located in Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada [125], and several Hawaiian islands lack *V. destructor* [126], these populations provide unique opportunities

to examine the effects of viruses on colony health and immune regulation.

## Two sides to the story – host vs. virus genetics

The genetic background of the host has implications on susceptibility to virus infection and disease severity. This is particularly relevant for honey bees as they live in colonies of ~ 30,000, the majority of which are sterile, genetic-half sisters, since queens typically mate with 12 drones [127]. Colony level diversity due to queen polyandry reduces the prevalence of honey bee diseases [128] and may result in varying transcriptional responses, variation between individual hemocyte populations, and differences in social immune mechanisms (e.g., grooming behavior, propolis production) [110,129]. Moreover, genetic diversity is not limited to the host, as the majority of honey bee viruses are RNA viruses with error prone polymerases that generate virus quasispecies over the course of infection [130]. Different virus variants within particular quasispecies populations may have greater or lesser pathogenicity in a particular host organism. In addition, different strains of honey bee viruses exhibit differential pathogenicity (i.e., DWV and IAPV) [18<sup>•</sup>,69<sup>•</sup>,126]. Recent studies determined that DWV strain prevalence was reduced in the presence of mites [126] and the recombinant strain of DWV, DWV<sup>v</sup>, is more virulent than other DWV-like viruses [69<sup>•</sup>]. A greater appreciation of the existing virus genomic diversity across the globe is needed to better evaluate the effects of distinct virus strains on colony health. The development of infectious virus clones that are amenable to mutation (reverse genetic systems) are needed to verify strain-specific virulence and determine mechanism(s) of enhanced virulence or increased tolerance. Honey bees may vary in their degree of virus tolerance [79<sup>•</sup>,131]. This should be explored at both the individual and colony levels, since the information gained may guide the use of virus susceptibility as an additional selectable trait in honey bee breeding programs [129,132,133]. In addition, further use and development of immortalized honey bee lines (i.e., AmE-711) [134<sup>•</sup>], long-term cell cultures [135], and primary cell cultures [136,137], are required to further the field of honey bee virology. Future use of immortalized cell lines and infectious honey bee virus clones will serve to normalize future studies and lead to a better understanding of honey bee antiviral defense mechanisms.

## Conclusion

Investigating virus–host interactions throughout all domains of life has led to a greater biological understanding of fundamental cellular processes and host–virus coevolution. Honey bee host–virus interactions likely depend upon bee age or developmental stage, additional biotic and abiotic variables, and genetics of both host and pathogen. Only with additional research in laboratory and

field settings at both the individual bee and colony level, will the mechanisms of honey bee antiviral defense be understood. Undoubtedly, continued investigation of honey bee host–virus pairs will lead to the discovery of evolutionarily conserved immune defense strategies, as well as reveal numerous unique co-evolved relationships that are specific to each host–virus combination. It is a critical and exciting time to investigate honey bee antiviral response mechanisms.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health IDeA Program COBRE grant GM110732, National Science Foundation EPSCoR NSF-IIA-1443108, Project *Apis m.*, and the Montana State University Agricultural Experiment Station. The Flenniken laboratory is also supported by the Montana Department of Agriculture, the Montana State Beekeepers Association, Montana State University, and the United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (USDA-NIFA-AFRI) program. Laura M. Brutscher is supported by the Project *Apis m.*-Costco Honey Bee Biology Fellowship. We would like to thank members of the Flenniken laboratory (Elisa Boyd, Ian Cavigli, Emma Garcia, and Madison Martin) for reviewing this manuscript prior to publication.

## References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Calderone NW: **Insect pollinated crops, insect pollinators and US agriculture: trend analysis of aggregate data for the period 1992–2009.** *PLoS ONE* 2012, **7**:e37235.
2. van der Zee R, Pisa L, Andonov S, Brodschneider R, Charriere J-D, Chlebo R, Coffey MF, Crailsheim K, Dahle B, Gajda A *et al.*: **Managed honey bee colony losses in Canada, China, Europe Israel and Turkey, for the winters of 2008–9 and 2009–10.** *J Apicult Res* 2012, **51**:91–114.
3. Clermont A, Eickermann M, Kraus F, Georges C, Hoffmann L, Beyer M: **A survey on some factors potentially affecting losses of managed honey bee colonies in Luxembourg over the winters 2010/2011 and 2011/2012.** *J Apicult Res* 2014, **53**:43–56.
4. McMenamin AJ, Genersch E: **Curr Opin Insect Sci** 2015 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.01.015>.  
This paper reviews recent large-scale honey bee colony losses, and the field and experimental data that supports the association of viruses with colony loss.
5. vanEngelsdorp D, Hayes J, Underwood RM, Pettis J: **A survey of honey bee colony losses in the US, Fall 2007 to Spring 2008.** *PLoS ONE* 2008, **3**:e4071.
6. Steinhauer NA, Rennick K, Wilson ME, Caron DM, al E: **A national survey of managed honey bee 2012–2013 annual colony losses in the USA: results from the Bee Informed Partnership.** *J Apicult Res* 2014, **53**:1–18.
- The Bee Informed Partnership provides data regarding the percentages of U.S. managed honey bee colonies lost each year; this data is essential to understanding high annual colony losses.
7. Spleen AM, Lengerich EJ, Rennick K, Caron D, Rose R, Pettis JS, Henson M, Wilkes JT, Wilson M, Stitzinger J *et al.*: **A national survey of managed honey bee 2011–12 winter colony losses in the United States: results from the Bee Informed Partnership 2013** <http://dx.doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.2.07>.
8. vanEngelsdorp D, Caron D, Hayes J, Underwood R, Henson M, Rennick K, Spleen A, Andree M, Snyder R, Lee K *et al.*: **A national survey of managed honey bee 2010–11 winter colony losses in the USA: results from the Bee Informed Partnership.** *J Apicult Res* 2012, **51**:115–124.



9. vanEngelsdorp D, Evans JD, Saegerman C, Mullin C, Haubruge E, Nguyen BK, Frazier M, Frazier JL, Cox-Foster D, Chen Y *et al.*: **Colony collapse disorder: a descriptive study.** *PLoS ONE* 2009, **4**:e6481.
- This paper defines Colony Collapse Disorder (CCD), reviews other historically import large-scale bee losses, and provides a framework for the association of multiple parameters with colony loss.
10. Ellis JD, Evans JD, Pettis J: **Colony losses, managed colony population decline, and Colony Collapse Disorder in the United States.** *J Apicult Res* 2010, **49**:134-136.
11. Mullin CA, Frazier M, Frazier JL, Ashcraft S, Simonds R, vanEngelsdorp D, Pettis JS: **High levels of miticides and agrochemicals in North American apiaries: implications for honey bee health.** *PLoS ONE* 2010, **5**:e9754.
- This paper provides quantitative mass spectrometry data on over 100 pesticides and metabolites associated with honey bees and hive matrices; it is the most thorough investigation of honey bee agrochemical exposure to date.
12. Cornman RS, Tarpy DR, Chen Y, Jeffreys L, Lopez D, Pettis JS, vanEngelsdorp D, Evans JD: **Pathogen webs in collapsing honey bee colonies.** *PLoS ONE* 2012, **7**:e43562.
- This is an excellent review of honey bee pathogens and analyses of pathogen abundance and associations in CCD and non-CCD colonies.
13. Gallant AL, Euliss NH, Browning Z: **Mapping large-area landscape suitability for honey bees to assess the influence of land-use change on sustainability of national pollination services.** *PLoS ONE* 2014, **9**:e99268.
14. Cox-Foster DL, Conlan S, Holmes EC, Palacios G, Evans JD, Moran NA, Quan P-L, Brieseman T, Hornig M *et al.*: **A metagenomic survey of microbes in honey bee colony collapse disorder.** *Science* 2007, **318**:283-287.
- This paper describes the first metagenomic analysis of CCD-affected colonies.
15. Nguyen BK, Mignon J, Laget D, de Graaf DC, Jacobs FJ, vanEngelsdorp D, Brostaux Y, Saegerman C, Haubruge E: **Honey bee colony losses in Belgium during the 2008–9 winter.** *J Apicult Res* 2010, **49**:337-339.
16. Genersch E, Ohe von der W, Kaatz H, Schroeder A, Otten C, Büchler R, Berg S, Ritter W, Mühlen W, Gisder S *et al.*: **The German bee monitoring project: a long term study to understand periodically high winter losses of honey bee colonies.** *Apidologie* 2010, **41**:332-352.
- This paper described results from a four-year monitoring project and identified several factors associated with over-winter losses including mite infestation, DWV, ABPV, queen age, and weakness of colonies in autumn.
17. Ravoet J, Maharramov J, Meeus I, De Smet L, Wenseleers T, Smagghe G, de Graaf DC: **Comprehensive Bee Pathogen Screening in Belgium reveals *Crithidia mellificae* as a new contributory factor to winter mortality.** *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e72443.
18. Chen YP, Pettis JS, Corona M, Chen WP, Li CJ, Spivak M, Visscher PK, DeGrandi-Hoffman G, Boncristiani H, Zhao Y *et al.*: **Israeli acute paralysis virus: epidemiology. Pathogenesis and implications for honey bee health.** *PLoS Pathog* 2014, **10**:e1004261.
- This paper is an important contribution to better understanding the role of IAPV in colony loss and honey bee host–IAPV interactions (i.e., honey bee RNAi-mediated antiviral response, analysis of differentially expressed genes in IAPV-infected adults, and evidence for an IAPV encoded suppressor of RNAi).
19. Evans JD, Schwarz RS: **Bees brought to their knees: microbes affecting honey bee health.** *Trends Microbiol* 2011, **19**:614-620.
- This is an excellent review of honey bee associated microbes, including pathogens.
20. Runckel C, Flenniken ML, Engel JC, Ruby JG, Ganem D, Andino R, DeRisi JL: **Temporal analysis of the honey bee microbiome reveals four novel viruses and seasonal prevalence of known viruses, nosema, and crithidia.** *PLoS ONE* 2011, **6**:e20656.
21. Tentcheva D, Gauthier L, Zappulla N, Dainat B, Cousserans F, Colin ME, Bergoin M: **Prevalence and seasonal variations of six bee viruses in *Apis mellifera* L. and *Varroa destructor* mite populations in France.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2004, **70**:7185-7191.
22. Govan VA, Leat N, Allsopp M, Davison S: **Analysis of the complete genome sequence of acute bee paralysis virus shows that it belongs to the novel group of insect-infecting RNA viruses.** *Virology* 2000, **277**:457-463.
23. Leat N, Ball B, Govan V, Davison S: **Analysis of the complete genome sequence of black queen-cell virus, a picorna-like virus of honey bees.** *J Gen Virol* 2000, **81**:2111-2119.
24. Maori E, Lavi S, Mozes-Koch R, Gantman Y, Peretz Y, Edelbaum O *et al.*: **Isolation and characterization of Israeli acute paralysis virus, a dicistrovirus affecting honeybees in Israel: evidence for diversity due to intra- and inter-species recombination.** *J Gen Virol* 2007, **88**:3428-3438.
25. de Miranda JR, Drebot M, Tyler S, Shen M, Cameron CE, Stoltz DB, Camazine SM: **Complete nucleotide sequence of Kashmir bee virus and comparison with acute bee paralysis virus.** *J Gen Virol* 2004, **85**:2263-2270.
26. Lanzi G, De Miranda J, Boniotti M, Cameron C, Lavazza A, Capucci L, Camazine S, Rossi C: **Molecular and biological characterization of deformed wing virus of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.).** *J Virol* 2006, **80**:4998-5009.
27. Fujiyuki T, Ohka S, Takeuchi H, Ono M, Nomoto A, Kubo T: **Prevalence and phylogeny of Kakugo virus, a novel insect picorna-like virus that infects the honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.), under various colony conditions.** *J Virol* 2006, **80**:11528-11538.
28. Ongus JR, Peters D, Bonmatin J-M, Bengsch E, Vlak JM, van Oers MM: **Complete sequence of a picorna-like virus of the genus Iflavirus replicating in the mite *Varroa destructor*.** *J Gen Virol* 2004, **85**:3747-3755.
29. Ghosh RC, Ball BV, Willcocks MM, Carter MJ: **The nucleotide sequence of sacbrood virus of the honey bee: an insect picorna-like virus.** *J Gen Virol* 1999, **80**(Pt 6):1541-1549.
30. de Miranda JR, Dainat B, Locke B, Cordoni G, Berthoud H, Gauthier L, Neumann P, Budge GE, Ball BV, Stoltz DB: **Genetic characterization of slow bee paralysis virus of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.).** *J Gen Virol* 2010, **91**:2524-2530.
31. Carreck NL, Ball BV, Martin SJ: **The epidemiology of cloudy wing virus infections in honey bee colonies in the UK.** *J Apicult Res* 2010, **49**:66-71.
32. Olivier V, Blanchard P, Chaouch S, Lallemand P, Schurr F, Celle O, Dubois E, Tordo N, Thiéry R, Houlgatte R *et al.*: **Molecular characterisation and phylogenetic analysis of Chronic bee paralysis virus, a honey bee virus.** *Virus Res* 2008, **132**:59-68.
33. Chen YP, Siede R: *Honey Bee Viruses.* In *Advances in Virus Research.* Elsevier; 2007: 33-80.
34. Genersch E, Aubert M: **Emerging and re-emerging viruses of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.).** *Vet Res* 2010, **41**:54.
35. Granberg F, Vicente-Rubiano M, Rubio-Guerri C, Karlsson OE, Kukiela D, Belák S, Sánchez-Vizcaíno JM: **Metagenomic detection of viral pathogens in Spanish honeybees: co-infection by aphid lethal paralysis Israel acute paralysis and lake Sinai viruses.** *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e7459.
36. Chen YP, Pettis JS, Collins A, Feldlaufer MF: **Prevalence and transmission of honeybee viruses.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006, **72**:606-611.
37. Singh R, Levitt AL, Rajotte EG, Holmes EC, Ostiguy N, vanEngelsdorp D, Lipkin WI, Depamphilis CW, Toth AL, Cox-Foster DL: **RNA viruses in hymenopteran pollinators: evidence of inter-Taxa virus transmission via pollen and potential impact on non-*Apis* hymenopteran species.** *PLoS ONE* 2010, **5**:e14357.
38. Fürst MA, McMahon DP, Osborne JL, Paxton RJ, Brown MJF: **Disease associations between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators.** *Nature* 2014, **506**:364-366.
39. Mazzei M, Carrozza ML, Luisi E, Forzan M, Giusti M, Sagona S, Tolari F, Felicioli A: **Infectivity of DWV associated to flower pollen: experimental evidence of a horizontal transmission route.** *PLoS ONE* 2014, **9**:e113448.

40. Boncristiani HF, Di Prisco G, Pettis JS, Hamilton M, Chen YP: **Molecular approaches to the analysis of deformed wing virus replication and pathogenesis in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*.** *Viral J* 2009, **6**:221.
  41. Chen Y, Pettis J, Evans J, Kramer M: **Transmission of Kashmir bee virus by the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor*.** *Apidologie* 2004, **35**:441-448.
  42. Shen M, Cui L, Ostiguy N, Cox-Foster D: **Intricate transmission routes and interactions between picorna-like viruses (Kashmir bee virus and sacbrood virus) with the honeybee host and the parasitic varroa mite.** *J Gen Virol* 2005, **86**:2281-2289.
  43. Rosenkranz P, Aumeier P, Ziegelmann B: **Biology and control of *Varroa destructor*.** *J Invertebr Pathol* 2010, **103**(Suppl. 1): S96-S119.
  44. Kuster RD, Boncristiani HF, Rueppell O: **Immunogene and viral transcript dynamics during parasitic *Varroa destructor* mite infection of developing honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) pupae.** *J Exp Biol* 2014, **217**:1710-1718.
- This paper provides quantitative transcriptional level data of immune gene regulation in mite-exposed pupae at multiple time points and shows little support for mite-mediated immune suppression; the introduction and discussion are well-researched and very informative.
45. Nazzi F, Pennacchio F: **Disentangling multiple interactions in the hive ecosystem.** *Trends Parasitol* 2014, **30**:556-561.
- This short-opinion article reviews factors associated with colony loss and provides a framework for understanding the combinatorial effects of mites and viruses.
46. Locke B, Forsgren E, de Miranda JR: **Increased tolerance and resistance to virus infections: a possible factor in the survival of *Varroa destructor*-resistant honey bees (*Apis mellifera*).** *PLoS ONE* 2014, **9**:e99998.
  47. Honeybee Genome Sequencing Consortium: **Insights into social insects from the genome of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*.** *Nature* 2006, **443**:931-949.
  48. Evans JD, Aronstein K, Chen YP, Hetru C, Imler JL, Jiang H, Kanost M, Thompson GJ, Zou Z, Hultmark D: **Immune pathways and defence mechanisms in honey bees *Apis mellifera*.** *Insect Mol Biol* 2006, **15**:645-656.
- The honey bee immune genes and pathways described in this paper are the basis for honey bee immunology.
49. Grimaldi D, Engel MS: *Evolution of the Insects*. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
  50. Elsik CG, Worley KC, Bennett AK, Beye M, Camara F, Childers CP, de Graaf DC, Debyser G, Deng J, Devreese B *et al.*: **Finding the missing honey bee genes: lessons learned from a genome upgrade.** *BMC Genom* 2014, **15**:86.
  51. Ronald PC, Beutler B: **Plant and animal sensors of conserved microbial signatures.** *Science* 2010, **330**:1061-1064.
  52. Kingsolver MB, Huang Z, Hardy RW: **Insect antiviral innate immunity: pathways, effectors, and connections.** *J Mol Biol* 2013 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2013.10.006>.
- This review article provides an excellent description of the immune mechanisms involved in insect innate antiviral immunity.
53. Ding S-W: **RNA-based antiviral immunity.** *Nat Rev Immunol* 2010, **10**:632-644.
- This is an excellent review of RNAi in insects, nematodes, plants, and vertebrates; it summarizes key concepts and fundamental studies.
54. van Rij RP, Berezhikov E: **Small RNAs and the control of transposons and viruses in *Drosophila*.** *Trends Microbiol* 2009, **17**:163-171.
  55. Flenniken ML, Kunitomi M, Tassetto M, Andino R: *Insect Virology — The Antiviral Role of RNA Interference*. Caister Academic Press; 2010.
  56. Kingsolver MB, Hardy RW: **Making connections in insect innate immunity.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012, **109**:18639-18640.
  57. Nayak A, Tassetto M, Kunitomi M, Andino R: **RNA interference-mediated intrinsic antiviral immunity in invertebrates.** *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2013, **371**:183-200.
- This article provides an excellent review of RNAi-mediated insect antiviral immunity.
58. Karlikow M, Goic B, Saleh M-C: **RNAi and antiviral defense in *Drosophila*: setting up a systemic immune response.** *Dev Comp Immunol* 2014, **42**:85-92.
  59. van Rij RP, Saleh M-C, Berry B, Foo C, Houk A, Antoniewski C, Andino R: **The RNA silencing endonuclease Argonaute 2 mediates specific antiviral immunity in *Drosophila melanogaster*.** *Genes Dev* 2006, **20**:2985-2995.
  60. Wang X-H, Aliyari R, Li W-X, Li H-W, Kim K, Carthew R, Atkinson P, Ding S-W: **RNA interference directs innate immunity against viruses in adult *Drosophila*.** *Science* 2006, **312**:452-454.
  61. Campbell CL, Keene KM, Brackney DE, Olson KE, Blair CD, Wilusz J, Foy BD: ***Aedes aegypti* uses RNA interference in defense against Sindbis virus infection.** *BMC Microbiol* 2008, **8**:47.
  62. Keene KM, Foy BD, Sanchez-Vargas I, Beaty BJ, Blair CD, Olson KE: **RNA interference acts as a natural antiviral response to O'nyong-nyong virus (Alphavirus; Togaviridae) infection of *Anopheles gambiae*.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004, **101**:17240-17245.
  63. Saleh M-C, Tassetto M, van Rij RP, Goic B, Gausson V, Bassam B, Jacquier C, Antoniewski C, Andino R: **Antiviral immunity in *Drosophila* requires systemic RNA interference spread.** *Nature* 2009, **458**:346-350.
  64. Maori E, Paldi N, Shafir S, Kalev H, Tsur E, Glick E, Sela I: **IAPV, a bee-affecting virus associated with Colony Collapse Disorder can be silenced by dsRNA ingestion.** *Insect Mol Biol* 2009, **18**:55-60.
- This paper provides initial evidence for the role of RNAi in honey bee antiviral defense.
65. Desai SD, Eu Y-J, Whyard S, Currie RW: **Reduction in deformed wing virus infection in larval and adult honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) by double stranded RNA ingestion.** *Insect Mol Biol* 2012, **21**:446-455.
- This study demonstrated that pretreatment (via feeding) of both honey bee larvae and adults with dsRNA specific to DWV reduced viral burden, thus it provided additional evidence for the role of RNAi in antiviral defense.
66. Chejanovsky N, Ophir R, Schwager MS, Slabezki Y, Grossman S, Cox-Foster D: **Characterization of viral siRNA populations in honey bee colony collapse disorder.** *Virology* 2014, **454**:176-183.
- Ultra-deep sequencing data was used to characterize siRNAs from bees obtained from CCD-affected and healthy colonies. Key findings from this study included that CCD-affected colonies had a greater number of 22-nt siRNAs than healthy colonies, siRNA sequences were distributed throughout the virus genomes, and that there were more negative-strand IAPV-siRNAs than positive-strand siRNAs.
67. Hunter W, Ellis J, vanEngelsdorp D, Hayes J, Westervelt D, Glick E, Williams M, Sela I, Maori E, Pettis J *et al.*: **Large-scale field application of RNAi technology reducing Israeli acute paralysis virus disease in honey bees (*Apis mellifera* Hymenoptera: Apidae).** *Plos Pathog* 2010, **6**:e1001160.
  68. Wang H, Xie J, Shreeve TG, Ma J, Pallett DW, King LA, Possee RD: **Sequence recombination and conservation of *Varroa destructor* virus-1 and deformed wing virus in field collected honey bees (*Apis mellifera*).** *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e74508.
  69. Ryabov EV, Wood GR, Fannon JM, Moore JD, Bull JC, Chandler D, Mead A, Burroughs N, Evans DJ: **A virulent strain of Deformed Wing Virus (DWV) of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) prevails after *Varroa destructor*-mediated, or in vitro, transmission.** *Plos Pathog* 2014, **10**:e1004230.
- This is an interesting report of a DWV strain that has enhanced replication in bee pupae, which is not observed in mites. In addition, this study identified differentially expressed genes in mite-infested and virus-infected pupae.
70. Liu X, Zhang Y, Yan X, Han R: **Prevention of Chinese Sacbrood Virus infection in *Apis cerana* using RNA interference.** *Curr Microbiol* 2010, **61**:422-428.
  71. Niu J, Meeus I, Cappelle K, Piot N, Smagghe G: **The immune response of the small interfering RNA pathway in the defense against bee viruses.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2014, **6**:22-27.

This review describes the siRNA pathway, provides phylogenetic comparisons of Dicer-2 and Ago-2 from numerous bee species, reviews dsRNA-mediated gene silencing in both bumble bee and honey bees, and summarizes current experimental support for RNAi-mediated and non-specific dsRNA mediated antiviral responses in honey bees.

72. Deddouche S, Matt N, Budd A, Mueller S, Kemp C, Galiana-Arnoux D, Dostert C, Antoniewski C, Hoffmann JA, Imler J-L: **The DExD/H-box helicase Dicer-2 mediates the induction of antiviral activity in *Drosophila***. *Nat Immunol* 2008, **9**:1425-1432.
  73. Flenniken ML, Andino R: **Non-specific dsRNA-mediated antiviral response in the honey bee**. *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e772633.
- This study provides experimental evidence of a non-specific dsRNA-mediated antiviral response in bees infected with a model virus, and it describes differential gene expression in virus-infected and dsRNA-treated bees.
74. Nunes F, Aleixo A, Barchuk A, Bomtorin A, Grozinger C, Simões Z: **Non-target effects of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-derived double-stranded RNA (dsRNA-GFP) used in honey bee RNA interference (RNAi) assays**. *Insects* 2012, **3**:601-615 2013, **4**:90-103.
  75. Schoggins JW, Wilson SJ, Panis M, Murphy MY, Jones CT, Bieniasz P, Rice CM: **A diverse range of gene products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response**. *Nature* 2011, **472**:481-485.
  76. Lamiable O, Imler J-L: **Induced antiviral innate immunity in *Drosophila***. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2014, **20**:62-68.
- This is an excellent review of fruit fly antiviral mechanisms.
77. Hoffmann JA: **The immune response of *Drosophila***. *Nature* 2003, **426**:33-38.
  78. Ferrandon D, Imler J-L, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA: **The *Drosophila* systemic immune response: sensing and signalling during bacterial and fungal infections**. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2007, **7**:862-874.
  79. Merklings SH, van Rij RP: **Beyond RNAi: antiviral defense strategies in *Drosophila* and mosquito**. *J Insect Physiol* 2013, **59**:159-170.
- This is an outstanding review of fruit fly and mosquito antiviral mechanisms; it provides a comprehensive graphical representation of inducible immune pathways in *Drosophila*.
80. Sabin LR, Hanna SL, Cherry S: **Innate antiviral immunity in *Drosophila***. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2010, **22**:4-9.
  81. Valanne S, Wang J-H, Rämert M: **The *Drosophila* Toll signaling pathway**. *J Immunol* 2011, **186**:649-656.
  82. Georgel P, Naitza S, Kappler C, Ferrandon D, Zachary D, Swimmer C, Kopczynski C, Duyk G, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA: ***Drosophila* immune deficiency (IMD) is a death domain protein that activates antibacterial defense and can promote apoptosis**. *Dev Cell* 2001, **1**:503-514.
  83. Asgari S: *Insect Virology*. Caister Academic Pr; 2010.
  84. Zambon R, Nandakumar M, Vakharia V, Wu L: **The Toll pathway is important for an antiviral response in *Drosophila***. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, **102**:7257-7262.
  85. Xi Z, Ramirez JL, Dimopoulos G: **The *Aedes aegypti* toll pathway controls dengue virus infection**. *Plos Pathog* 2008, **4**:e1000098.
  86. Avadhanula V, Weasner BP, Hardy GG, Kumar JP, Hardy RW: **A novel system for the launch of alphavirus RNA synthesis reveals a role for the Imd pathway in arthropod antiviral response**. *Plos Pathog* 2009, **5**:e1000582.
  87. Costa A, Jan E, Sarnow P, Schneider D: **The Imd pathway is involved in antiviral immune responses in *Drosophila***. *PLoS ONE* 2009, **4**:e7436.
  88. Dostert C, Jouanguy E, Irving P, Troxler L, Galiana-Arnoux D, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA, Imler J-L: **The Jak-STAT signaling pathway is required but not sufficient for the antiviral response of *Drosophila***. *Nat Immunol* 2005, **6**:946-953.
  89. Imler J-L, Bulet P: **Antimicrobial peptides in *Drosophila*: structures, activities and gene regulation**. *Chem Immunol Allergy* 2005, **86**:1-21.
  90. Carpenter J, Hutter S, Baines JF, Roller J, Saminadin-Peter SS, Parsch J, Jiggins FM: **The transcriptional response of *Drosophila melanogaster* to infection with the sigma virus (Rhabdoviridae)**. *PLoS ONE* 2009, **4**:e6838.
  91. Shelly S, Lukinova N, Bambina S, Berman A, Cherry S: **Autophagy is an essential component of *Drosophila* immunity against vesicular stomatitis virus**. *Immunity* 2009, **30**:588-598.
  92. DiAngelo JR, Bland ML, Bambina S, Cherry S, Birnbaum MJ: **The immune response attenuates growth and nutrient storage in *Drosophila* by reducing insulin signaling**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009, **106**:20853-20858.
  93. Fischman BJ, Woodard SH, Robinson GE: **Molecular evolutionary analyses of insect societies**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011, **108**(Suppl. 2):10847-10854.
  94. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ: **Basic local alignment search tool**. *J Mol Biol* 1990, **215**:403-410.
  95. de Faria IJDS, Olmo RP, Silva EG, Marques JT: **dsRNA sensing during viral infection: lessons from plants, worms insects, and mammals**. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 2013, **33**:239-253.
  96. Jensen S, Thomsen AR: **Sensing of RNA viruses: a review of innate immune receptors involved in recognizing RNA virus invasion**. *J Virol* 2012, **86**:2900-2910.
  97. Schoggins JW, Rice CM: **Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions**. *Curr Opin Virol* 2011, **1**:519-525.
  98. Lundgren JG, Duan JJ: **RNAi-based insecticidal crops**. *Bioscience* 2013, **63**:657-665.
  99. Wilson RC, Doudna JA: **Molecular mechanisms of RNA interference**. *Annu Rev Biophys* 2013, **42**:217-239 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-083012-130404>.
  100. Bronkhorst AW, van Rij RP: **The long and short of antiviral defense: small RNA-based immunity in insects**. *Curr Opin Virol* 2014, **7C**:19-28.
  101. Galbraith DA, Yang X, Nino EL, Yi S, Grozinger C: **Parallel epigenomic and transcriptomic responses to viral infection in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*)**. *Plos Pathog* 2015, **11**(3):e1004713.
- This study assessed the transcriptional profile of IAPV-infected young bees with high throughput sequencing (RNA-Seq). They found that 753 genes were differentially expressed in IAPV-infected bees, including a few genes in the RNAi and Toll pathways. This study did an excellent job assessing pre-existing/confounding infections and therefore ensured that the gene regulation observed in their well-controlled experiments was most likely due to IAPV-infection.
102. Nazzi F, Brown SP, Annoscia D, Del Piccolo F, Di Prisco G, Varricchio P, Vedova Della G, Cattonaro F, Caprio E, Pennacchio F: **Synergistic parasite-pathogen interactions mediated by host immunity can drive the collapse of honeybee colonies**. *Plos Pathog* 2012, **8**:e1002735.
- This study provides experimental evidence of a central role for *Am dorsal-1A* in limiting DWV infection in the context of mite infestation.
103. Schlüns H, Crozier RH: **Relish regulates expression of antimicrobial peptide genes in the honeybee *Apis mellifera*, shown by RNA interference**. *Insect Mol Biol* 2007, **16**:753-759.
  104. Boncristiani H, Underwood R, Schwarz R, Evans JD, Pettis J, vanEngelsdorp D: **Direct effect of acaricides on pathogen loads and gene expression levels in honey bees *Apis mellifera***. *J Insect Physiol* 2012, **58**:613-620.
  105. Yang X, Cox-Foster DL: **Impact of an ectoparasite on the immunity and pathology of an invertebrate: evidence for host immunosuppression and viral amplification**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, **102**:7470-7475.
  106. Azzami K, Ritter W, Tautz J, Beier H: **Infection of honey bees with acute bee paralysis virus does not trigger humoral or cellular immune responses**. *Arch Virol* 2012, **157**:689-702.
- This study determined that ABPV-infected honey bee larvae did not produce AMPs, and suggested that ABPV may suppress bee immune responses, since AMPs were not produced by larvae co-challenged with ABPV and *E. coli*.
107. Bull JC, Ryabov EV, Prince G, Mead A, Zhang C, Baxter LA, Pell JK, Osborne JL, Chandler D: **A strong immune response in**



**young adult honeybees masks their increased susceptibility to infection compared to older bees.** *Plos Pathog* 2012, **8**:e1003083.

This paper is an important step toward understanding age-dependent immunity in honey bees. They showed that older forager bees were more resistant to fungal infection due to age-related activation of immune pathways, whereas younger house bees had a greater number of differentially expressed immune genes in response to fungal challenge.

108. Boncristiani HF, Evans JD, Chen Y, Pettis J, Murphy C: **In vitro infection of pupae with Israeli acute paralysis virus suggests disturbance of transcriptional homeostasis in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*).** *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e73429.
  109. Johnson RM, Evans JD, Robinson GE, Berenbaum MR: **Changes in transcript abundance relating to colony collapse disorder in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*).** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009, **106**:14790-14795.
  110. Marringa WJ, Krueger MJ, Burritt NL, Burritt JB: **Honey bee hemocyte profiling by flow cytometry.** *PLoS ONE* 2014, **9**:e108486.
  111. Hillyer JF, Strand MR: **Mosquito hemocyte-mediated immune responses.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2014, **3**:14-21.
  112. Richard F-J, Holt HL, Grozinger CM: **Effects of immunostimulation on social behavior, chemical communication and genome-wide gene expression in honey bee workers (*Apis mellifera*).** *Bmc Genomics* 2012, **13**:558.
  113. Holt HL, Aronstein KA, Grozinger CM: **Chronic parasitization by *Nosema microsporidia* causes global expression changes in core nutritional, metabolic and behavioral pathways in honey bee workers (*Apis mellifera*).** *BMC Genom* 2013:14.
  114. Moran NA, Hansen AK, Powell JE, Sabree ZL: **Distinctive gut microbiota of honey bees assessed using deep sampling from individual worker bees.** *PLoS ONE* 2012, **7**:e36393.
- This study furthers our understanding of the honey bee microbiome, an important factor to consider in bee health and immune defense.
115. Mattila HR, Rios D, Walker-Sperling VE, Roeselers G, Newton I: **Characterization of the active microbiotas associated with honey bees reveals healthier and broader communities when colonies are genetically diverse.** *PLoS ONE* 2012, **7**:e32962.
- This study furthers our understanding of the honey bee microbiome, an important factor to consider in bee health and immune defense.
116. Rainey SM, Shah P, Kohl A, Dietrich I: **Understanding the *Wolbachia*-mediated inhibition of arboviruses in mosquitoes: progress and challenges.** *J Gen Virol* 2014, **95**:517-530.
  117. Hamilton PT, Perlman SJ: **Host defense via symbiosis in *Drosophila*.** *Plos Pathog* 2013, **9**:e1003808.
  118. Doublet V, Labarussias M, de Miranda JR, Moritz RFA, Paxton RJ: **Bees under stress: sublethal doses of a neonicotinoid pesticide and pathogens interact to elevate honey bee mortality across the life cycle.** *Environ Microbiol* 2014 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12426>.
  119. Di Prisco G, Cavaliere V, Annoscia D, Varricchio P, Caprio E, Nazzi F, Gargiulo G, Pennacchio F: **Neonicotinoid clothianidin adversely affects insect immunity and promotes replication of a viral pathogen in honey bees.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314923110>.
- This work examined synergistic effects of agrochemical exposure and virus-infection in honey bees and determined that *abidaecin* expression was reduced and DWV virus levels were greater in clothianidin treated bees. Overall this study indicated that the Toll pathway is important for antiviral defense, and that Toll signaling is reduced by clothianidin exposure.
120. Locke B, Forsgren E, Fries I, de Miranda JR: **Acaricide treatment affects viral dynamics in *Varroa destructor*-infested honey bee colonies via both host physiology and mite control.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012, **78**:2073.
  121. Pettis JS, vanEngelsdorp D, Johnson J, Dively G: **Pesticide exposure in honey bees results in increased levels of the gut pathogen *Nosema*.** *Naturwissenschaften* 2012, **99**:153-158.
- This study provides evidence of the interaction between agrochemical exposure and pathogen load; honey bees from colonies exposed to sublethal amounts of imidacloprid had higher levels of *Nosema* than bees from control colonies
122. Wheeler MM, Robinson GE: **Diet-dependent gene expression in honey bees: honey vs. sucrose or high fructose corn syrup.** *Sci Rep* 2014, **4**:5726.
- This study furthers our understanding of the honey bee nutrition, an important factor to consider in bee health and immune defense.
123. DeGrandi-Hoffman G, Chen Y, Huang E, Huang MH: **The effect of diet on protein concentration, hypopharyngeal gland development and virus load in worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.).** *J Insect Physiol* 2010, **56**:1184-1191.
- This study demonstrated that bees fed either protein supplement or pollen, in addition to high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) containing water, had lower DWV loads, than bees fed only HFCS-containing water. This controlled study is an important contribution toward understanding the role of nutrition in honey bee health immune defense.
124. Di Pasquale G, Salignon M, Le Conte Y, Belzunces LP, Decourtye A, Kretzschmar A, Suchail S, Brunet J-L, Alaux C: **Influence of pollen nutrition on honey bee health: do pollen quality and diversity matter?** *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e72016.
  125. Shutler D, Head K, Burgher-MacLellan KL, Colwell MJ, Levitt AL, Ostiguy N, Williams GR: **Honey bee *Apis mellifera* parasites in the absence of *Nosema ceranae* fungi and *Varroa destructor* mites.** *PLoS ONE* 2014, **9**:e98599.
  126. Martin SJ, Highfield AC, Brettell L, Villalobos EM, Budge GE, Powell M, Nikaido S, Schroeder DC: **Global honey bee viral landscape altered by a parasitic mite.** *Science* 2012, **336**:1304-1306.
  127. Tarpy DR, vanEngelsdorp D, Pettis JS: **Genetic diversity affects colony survivorship in commercial honey bee colonies.** *Naturwissenschaften* 2013, **100**:723-728.
  128. Tarpy DR, Seeley TD: **Lower disease infections in honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies headed by polyandrous vs monandrous queens.** *Naturwissenschaften* 2006, **93**:195-199.
  129. Evans JD, Spivak M: **Socialized medicine: individual and communal disease barriers in honey bees.** *J Invertebr Pathol* 2010, **103**(Suppl. 1):S62-S72.
  130. Llaure AS, Andino R: **Quasispecies theory and the behavior of RNA viruses.** *PLoS Pathog* 2010, **6**:e1001005.
  131. Ayres JS, Schneider DS: **Tolerance of infections.** *Annu Rev Immunol* 2012, **30**:271-294.
  132. Cobey S, Sheppard WS: **Status of breeding practices and genetic diversity in domestic US honey bees.** *Honey Bee Colony*. CRC Press; 2012.
  133. Buechler R, Andonov S, Bienefeld K, Costa C, Hatjina F, Kezic N, Kryger P, Spivak M, Uzunov A, Wilde J: **Standard methods for rearing and selection of *Apis mellifera* queens.** *J Apicult Res* 2013:52.
  134. Goblirsch MJ, Spivak MS, Kurti TJ: **A cell line resource derived from honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) embryonic tissues.** *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e69831.
- This paper describes a honey bee cell line (AmE-711) derived from fragmented honey bee embryonic tissue. Immortalized cell lines are important for investigating bee cell biology and honey bee host-pathogen interactions. Future use of these cells lines for honey bee virus-propagation will provide more uniform inoculum for virus-host interaction studies.
135. Bergem M, Norberg K, Aamodt RM: **Long-term maintenance of in vitro cultured honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) embryonic cells.** *BMC Dev Biol* 2006, **6**:17.
  136. Barbara GS, Grünwald B, Pauter S, Gauthier M, Raymond-Delpech V: **Study of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors on cultured antennal lobe neurones from adult honeybee brains.** *Invert Neurosci* 2008, **8**:19-29.
  137. Kreissl S, Bicker G: **Dissociated neurons of the pupal honeybee brain in cell culture.** *J Neurocytol* 1992, **21**:545-556.